

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/000,423	10/31/2001	Glen A. Oross	10018773-1	9718	
7590 12/13/2006			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN		
Intellectual Property Administration			ARTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			3611		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/000,4	23	OROSS ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Gary C. H	loge	3611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IN A CONTROL OF THE MAN IS IN A CONTROL	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex unication. tutory period will apply and v will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNIC vent, however, may a re vill expire SIX (6) MONT plication to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this (ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 25 September	2006.				
2a) ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the a	oplication.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9,11-13,22-24,33,34,40 and 41</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂							
7)🖂	<u>_</u>						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)□ objected to t	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority of		•	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			received in this Nationa	l Stage		
	application from the Internation	*		roopiyad			
* 3	See the attached detailed Office action	i for a list of the cen	med copies not i	receivea.			
Attachmen	tie)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P)	ГО-948)	Paper No(s))/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5)	formal Patent Application			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		-,	_			

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species I, Figs. 3 and 5 (not Figs. 3 through 5, as stated by Applicant), in the reply filed on September 25, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "all of the claims which the Office characterized as generic (i.e., claims 1, 14, 25, and 35) read upon Species I." This is not found persuasive because generic claims necessarily read on all species. That is the definition of a generic claim. Further, Applicant is incorrect in stating that claims 2-13, 15-25, 26-34 and 36-41 also read on Species I. In fact, Claims 9, 11-13, 22-24, 33, 34, 40 and 41 read on Species V. (Figs. 9 and 10).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 8, 14-17, 20, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vaudreuil (2002/0129527).

Vaudreuil discloses a deployable label base 14 to display labels 42; the deployable label base configured for movement between a first position (Fig. 1) and a second position (Fig. 2), the labels being viewable in the first position and at least some of the labels being concealed from

view in the second position. The recitation that the labels are "for a portable computing device" is merely a statement of intended use and does not define over the prior art.

Regarding claims 2 and 15, the label base 14 includes a first side and a second side. The recitation that the second side is "to display one or more of the labels" is merely a statement of intended use and does not define over the prior art.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 16 and 17, the label base is capable of displaying any kind of label.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 25, 31 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaudreuil (2002/0129527).

Art Unit: 3611

Vaudreuil discloses a method comprising attaching labels to a deployable label base 14; the deployable label base being moveable between a first position (Fig. 1) and a second position (Fig. 2), the labels being viewable in the first position and at least some of the labels being concealed from view in the second position. The labels disclosed by Vaudreuil are for "proof of validation or of purchase" (paragraph 0055). Because it is well known to purchase, and to receive proof of purchase of, a portable computing device, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach such proof of purchase labels to the label base disclosed by Vaudreuil as a matter of design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5-7, 10, 18, 19, 26-30, 32 and 36-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary C. Hoge whose telephone number is (571) 272-6645. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/000,423

Art Unit: 3611

Page 5

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gary C Hoge

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

gch